



## **OTM-R Checklist**

Validation to the CA of 31/01/22

	Open	Transparent	Merit- based	Answer: ++ Yes, completely +/-Yes, substantially -/+ Yes, partially No	*Suggested indicators (or form of measurement)
OTM-R system					
<ol> <li>Have we published a version of our OTM-R policy online (in the national language and in English)?</li> </ol>	x	х	х	++	https://www.uha.fr/fr/recherche/hrs4r-human-resources- strategy-for-researchers.html
Do we have an internal guide setting out clear OTM-R procedures and practices for all types of positions?	x	х	х	-/+	Preparation of summary information document on recruitment procedures and distribution to all permanent research fellows and contractual research fellows (transmission on first day of term for new arrivals + on the intranet site)  Document updated annually
3. Is everyone involved in the process sufficiently trained in the area of OTM-R?	x	х	х	+/-	<ul> <li>Inclusion of training on the "OTM-R" policy in the training offer (number of people trained)</li> <li>Raising of awareness and a reminder on methods of recruiting permanent and contractual research fellows at an information meeting for the chairs of selection committees (number of people targeted)</li> <li>Dissemination of information meeting material</li> </ul>
4. Do we make (sufficient) use of e- recruitment tools?	х	х		+/-	<ul> <li>UHA website</li> <li>Galaxie (national higher education and research recruitment and qualification portal)</li> <li>Online recruitment platform (job centre)</li> </ul>
5. Do we have a quality control system for OTM-R in place?	х	х	х		Recruitment appeal rate
6. Does our current OTM-R policy encourage external candidates to apply?	х	х	х	-/+	Number of candidates applying each year (figure from the social balance sheet)





7. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to attract researchers from abroad?	х	х	х	-/+	Number of foreign candidates recruited each year
8. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to attract underrepresented groups?	х	х	х	-/+	Male/female recruitment ratio
9. Is our current OTM-R policy in line with policies to provide attractive working conditions for researchers?	х	х	х	++	Number of:  - Teaching bonuses  - Organisation delegations  - Research leave or topic-based conversions (CRCT)  - Teaching project leave (CPP)
10. Do we have means to monitor whether the most suitable researchers apply?					<ul> <li>Number of resignations</li> <li>Number of end-of-placement renewals</li> <li>Number of decisions not to recruit at the end of a placement or trial period</li> </ul>
Advertising and application phase					
11. Do we have clear guidelines or templates (e.g., EURAXESS) for advertising positions?	х	х		+/-	<ul> <li>Job description template</li> <li>Publication procedure for Euraxess, Galaxie and the</li> <li>UHA website</li> </ul>
12. Do we include in the job advertisement references/links to all the elements foreseen in the relevant section of the toolkit?	х	х		+/-	Links to Galaxie or the "Human Resources" section of the UHA website or the job description are available and contacts are displayed
13. Do we make full use of EURAXESS to ensure our research vacancies reach a wider audience?	х	х		+/-	Number of Galaxie/Euraxess publications
14. Do we make use of other job advertising tools?	х	х		+/-	Number of publications on the job centre, APEC (Association for the Employment of Executives) etc. websites
15. Do we keep the administrative burden to a minimum for the candidate?	х			++	Online applications for research fellows
Selection and evaluation phase					





16. Do we have clear rules governing the appointment of selection committees?	х	х	++	<ul> <li>Indicators on the composition of the selection committee</li> <li>Existence of clear rules and guidelines for recruitment committees for research positions</li> <li>Raising of awareness and a reminder on methods of recruiting permanent and contractual research fellows at an information meeting for the chairs of selection committees (number of people targeted)</li> <li>Dissemination of information meeting material</li> </ul>
17. Do we have clear rules concerning the composition of selection committees?	х	х	++	<ul> <li>Rules officially adopted</li> <li>Reminders of these rules for the creation and composition of selection committees</li> </ul>
18. Are the committees sufficiently gender- balanced?	х	х	++	Indicators on the composition of the selection committee, including gender parity
19. Do we have clear guidelines for selection committees which help to judge 'merit' in a way that leads to the best candidate being selected?		x	+/-	<ul> <li>Raising of awareness and a reminder on methods of recruiting permanent and contractual research fellows at an information meeting for the chairs of selection committees (number of people targeted)</li> <li>Dissemination of information meeting material</li> </ul>
Appointment phase				- Company of the Comp
20. Do we inform all applicants at the end of the selection process?	х		++	1/ for permanent research fellows:
21. Do we provide adequate feedback to interviewees?	х		++	Written response at the candidate's request
22. Do we have an appropriate complaints mechanism in place?	х		+/-	Complaint rate
Overall assessment				
23. Do we have a system in place to assess whether OTM-R delivers on its objectives?				<ul> <li>Evaluation of progress on the areas covered by the OTM-R policy</li> <li>Achievement rate of the HRS4R action plan</li> </ul>